

CONTENTS

SOMMAIRE	9
SOMMARIO	11
STUDIES, COMMENTS	13

Katalin GOMBOS, Orsolya Johanna SZIEBIG THE AARHUS CONVENTION'S THIRD PILLAR IN THE EU FROM A PROCEDURAL LAW PERSPECTIVE WITH SPECIAL REGARD TO REGULATORY ACTS	13
Roxana Maria ROBA THE RIGHT TO WORK IN THE CONTEXT OF THE STATE OF EMERGENCY. SOLUTIONS AND CONTROVERSIES	36
Gabriel MANU IMPAIRMENT OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION THROUGH THE STATE OF EMERGENCY	41
Ramona Mihaela COMAN THE EMERGENCY STATE AND THE INTERFERENCE WITH THE PRIVATE LIFE	50
Ximena MOLDOVAN THE PARTICIPATION OF THE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE INSURER IN THE ROMANIAN CIVIL PROCESS	58
Roxana Silvia TRUTA, Claudia ROSU PARTIALLY CRITICAL CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING DECISION NO. 9/2020, PRONOUNCED BY THE HIGH COURT OF CASSATION AND JUSTICE - PANEL FOR RESOLVING THE APPEAL IN THE INTEREST OF THE LAW	65
Lucian CHIRIAC, Sonia COMAN THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR ENSURING INTERNATIONAL HEALTH SECURITY. ALERT AND RESPONSE IN CASE OF EPIDEMIC	88
Vasile LUHA PROBLEMS OF PRACTICE IN APPLYING THE RULES OF CONVENTIONAL RESOLUTION IN SPECIAL CONDITIONS	99

Laryssa de Almeida DONATO

***WATER FOR EVERYONE OR FOR WHO CAN PAY FOR IT? CONTOURS AND
DIFFICUTIES OF ACCESS TO WATER AS FUNDAMENTAL
HUMAN RIGHT 113***

JURISPRUDENCE 135

INFO 147